AgroDroughtAustria - ZAMG

Christoph Wittmann, Alexander Kann, Florian Weidle









Development of drought specific forecasting products

Objectives of the WP:

- 1. Developing now- and forecasting data sets for GIS input routine
- 2. Implementing input data flow into GIS system
- 3. Testing quality and estimating uncertainty of now- and forecasting data sets

Methodology:

- Interfaces for model data
- running/producing relevant data sets for project partners
- developing algorithms to assess the uncertainty of relevant forecast parameters (precipitation, temperature)
- apply verification methods



Current / next steps



Objectives 1+2:

- Interpolator from various model grids to MGI Lambert Projection (done)
- Development of converter INCA / ALARO / ECMWF data to netcdf file format needed by ArcGIS (partly done) open questions about header definition / grid?
- Provide test data set (INCA analysis) to LFZ (done)
- FTP server/account for data exchange between ZAMG and project partners (done)
- Provide 1 year data set (INCA analysis) for different parameters (open) (done) (available since 2003)
- Provide full data set of INCA analyses (open) (done)
- Provide forecasts (ALARO, ECMWF) test data set (open) -> see later
- Provide probabilistic information (what can be used in drought monitoring/forecasting tool?) (open) -> see later

Objective 3:

 Assessment of the quality and uncertainty estimation of the different forecasting models (ongoing / open questions) -> see later



ZAMG input for AgroDrought



extracted from meeting minutes (LFZ – ZAMG)

Parameter	ab Jahr	Forecast (d)	Auflösung	Anmerkung
Minimumtemperatur (24 h) [°C d ⁻¹]	2003	3 bzw. 10	1 km*)	
Maximumtemperatur (24 h) [°C d ⁻¹]	2003	3 bzw. 10	1 km	
Mitteltemperatur (24 h) [°C d ⁻¹]	2003	3 bzw. 10	1 km	
Tagesmitteltemperatur (12 h) [°C d ⁻¹]	2003	3 bzw. 10	1 km	abhängig von Modellen
Globalstrahlung [MJ m ⁻² d ⁻¹]	2003	3 bzw. 10	1 km	Umrechnung auf MJ m ⁻²
Relative Luftfeuchte [% d ⁻¹]	2003	3 bzw. 10	1 km	oder Evapotranspiration
Wind $[m s^{-1} d^{-1}]$	2003	3 bzw. 10	1 km	oder Evapotranspiration
Evapotranspiration (PM) [mm d ⁻¹]	2003	3 bzw. 10	1 km	
Schneebedeckung (SWE) [mm d ⁻¹]	2003	3 bzw. 10	1 km	vorerst nur Ja/Nein (mit W. Schöner besprechen)
Niederschlag [mm d ⁻¹]	2003	3 bzw. 10	1 km	

*) Die räumliche Auflösung der Wettermodelle liegt ursprünglich bei 4.8km für die nächsten 3 Tage (ALARO) und ca. 16.km für die nächsten 10 Tage (ECMWF). Die Daten werden jedoch auf das 1km INCA Gitter interpoliert und in dieser Auflösung zur Verfügung gestellt.



Snow analysis system (pre-operational version)



snow cover product needed? available starting with winter season 2013/2014



Fact-sheet models



ECMWF Monthly/Seasonal Forecast System

ECMWF

16km, 00/12 UTC, +240h lead time, 6h time steps; ~8h after T0

ECMWF-EPS

32km, 00/12 UTC, +360h lead time, 50 members, 6h time steps; ~9h after T0

ALARO

5km, 00/06/12/18 UTC, +72h lead time, 1h time steps, ~3h after T0

LAEF

11km, 00/12 UTC, +72h lead time, 16 members, 1h time steps, ~4h after T0

AROME (experimental; operational since 01/2014, major upgrade in 08/2014) 2.5km, 8 runs, +48h lead time, 1h time step, ~3h after T0

INCA

1km, 5min/15min/hourly, +12h lead time, 5min/15min/1h time step, ~10 to 45min after T0

Ensemble INCA (experimential; operational by end 2013) 1km, 15min/hourly, +12h lead time, 15min/1h time steps, ~30 to 45min after T0



Selection of the forecast models

Plan in the initial proposal:

- concentrate on (probabilistic) forecasts ranging from Nowcasting (next hours) to short term (3 days),
- medium range (up to 10 days) also mentioned, but less pronounced in the proposals

Suggestion for next steps:

- shift focus from nowcasting and short term range to medium and even long term range (monthly, maybe even seasonal) -> concentrate on ECMWF
- concentrate on evaluation of precipitation, temperature (and global radiation)
- keep short range inside (should not skip it) with sensitivity studies, but less pronounced (see next slides)
- we need to keep probabilistic approach
- INCA used for analysis and monitoring purpose, nowcasting seems to be not really interesting for the drought forecasting tool





• deterministic forecast products available from different model systems (ECMWF, ALARO, INCA) for different forecast ranges

• probabilistic counterparts (ensemble systems) exist (ECMWF-EPS, LAEF, En-INCA)

To estimate forecast uncertainty ensemble system take into account:

- uncertainty in model initial conditions (observations, background errors,..)
- uncertainty in physical parameterizations for surface and upper air (multiphysics, stochastic physics,...)
- uncertainties in lateral boundary conditions (for LAM systems)

part of this work is done within AgroDrought ("Assessment of the quality and uncertainty estimation of the different forecasting models")



modified tendency equation (by adding perturbation P')

$$\frac{\partial e_j}{\partial t} = A\left(e_j, t\right) + P'\left(e_j, t\right)$$

perturbation P' created with spectral pattern generator:

$$P'_{j}\left(e_{j},t\right) = \left(1 + r_{j}(\lambda,\varphi,t)_{D,T}\right)P_{j}\left(e_{j},t\right)$$



- liquid soil water content
- frozen soil water content
- snow albedo
- snow reservoir water content
- snow density
- water intercepted by vegetation







old pattern generator

new pattern generator

Stochastic physics – sensitivity studies II

significant effect on forecast (example: surface temperature and humidity)







• agree on forecasts data sets and models which should drive the drought forecast system (suggestion: concentrate on medium range / long term range)

- finalize the data interface (model data -> netcdf)
- prepare forecast test data sets
- probabilistic / ensemble information for drought forecast system (2 possibilities: 1) use probabilities, 2) use more than 1 model run
- evaluation of forecast quality (temperature, precipitation, [global radiation]) once we agreed on forecast data set
- continue sensitivity studies (stochastic [surface] physics) and evaluate effect on relevant forecast parameters

